

November 27, 2012

To the United States Senate:

The undersigned organizations object to the insertion of a declaration into the ratification of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities that purports to set current U.S. law as the limit of its obligations under the treaty.<sup>1</sup>

That declaration states:

The Senate declares that, in view of the reservations to be included in the instrument of ratification, current United States law fulfills or exceeds the obligations of the Convention for the United States.

The reservations referenced in the declaration address federalism, private conduct and obligations concerning torture and ill treatment.

The federalism reservation states:

Federalism. To the extent that state and local governments exercise jurisdiction over issues covered by the convention, U.S. obligations under the convention are limited to the U.S. government taking measures appropriate to the federal system, such as enforcement under the ADA, with the ultimate objective of full implementation.

This reservation is one of process rather than substance. It acknowledges and accepts the CRPD standards while limiting U.S. obligations to those that the federal government can undertake.

The declaration that "current U.S. law fulfills or exceeds the obligations of the Convention" on the other hand is one of substance. While it may, by incorporating the federalism reservation, admit that state laws may not comply with the standards, it appears to disavow the obligation to take measures appropriate to the federal system with the objective of full implementation.

Furthermore, by asserting broadly that both federal and state law fulfill CRPD obligations, the declaration claims that any actions taken to improve those laws

---

<sup>1</sup> In addition to the declaration discussed in the letter, we object to the understanding on Article 7 of the CRPD that specifically exempts the U.S. from changing its laws to comply with standards on the rights of children with disabilities, and to the understanding on the definitions of "reasonable accommodation" and "discrimination based on disability" which set U.S. law above core standards of the treaty. We do not agree with the other reservations, understandings or declarations, which all purport to limit U.S. obligations in ways that adversely impact the rights of persons with disabilities. We choose to address the most damaging declaration in this letter in the hope that it will permit a more focused discussion.

would be a matter of political choice rather than legal obligation to comply with CRPD.

We are aware that the United States has consistently taken the position that it only ratifies treaties with which it considers itself to be already in compliance. However, if the ratification of CRPD goes through with this declaration, it would be the first time that such a position was made a formal part of the ratification instrument. In setting domestic law as a whole above the standards of the treaty, this declaration would amount to a reservation incompatible with the treaty's object and purpose, impermissible under international law.<sup>2</sup> Similar reservations and declarations have met with objections from other states parties to the CRPD<sup>3</sup> and from legal experts.<sup>4</sup>

Ratifying a treaty means agreeing to uphold its standards, and not unilaterally making a blanket determination that a country's own law "fulfills or exceeds" those standards.

We urge the Senate to remove the declaration complained of, to modify its understandings accordingly on the rights of children with disabilities and the definitions of discrimination and reasonable accommodation, and to ratify the CRPD with the intention and objective of full implementation. If you have questions or require further information, please contact Tina Minkowitz, [info@chrusp.org](mailto:info@chrusp.org), telephone number 518-494-0174.

Sincerely,

The undersigned organizations and individuals, see following page

---

<sup>2</sup> Under customary international law, as codified by the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties,

"reservation" means a unilateral statement, however phrased or named, made by a State, when signing, ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to a treaty, whereby it purports to exclude or to modify the legal effect of certain provisions of the treaty in their application to that State.

<sup>3</sup> See <http://www.un.org/disabilities/default.asp?id=475>.

<sup>4</sup> See Legal Opinion on Article 12 of the CRPD, June 21, 2008, signed by 31 legal experts from 15 countries, [http://www.leeds.ac.uk/disability-studies/archiveuk/legal%20opinion/LegalOpinion\\_Art12\\_FINAL.pdf](http://www.leeds.ac.uk/disability-studies/archiveuk/legal%20opinion/LegalOpinion_Art12_FINAL.pdf).

Center for the Human Rights of Users  
and Survivors of Psychiatry  
Voices of the Heart, Inc.  
Law Project for Psychiatric Rights  
Intentional Peer Support  
IMPAC – Ithaca Mental Patients Advocacy  
Coalition  
Mental Health Empowerment Project  
Youth Power!  
US Human Rights Network  
The Opal Project  
Will Hall, MA, DiplPW  
Portland Hearing Voices  
Madness Radio  
Communities United Against Police  
Brutality  
Another Way Inc.  
Marjorie Cohn, Professor of Law, Thomas  
Jefferson School of Law  
Mary Bricker-Jenkins, PhD, Professor  
Emeritus, Temple University  
David Wagner, University of Southern  
Maine  
Arise for Social Justice  
Suzanne Jasper, First Peoples Human  
Rights Coalition  
William P Quigley, Professor of Law,  
Loyola University New Orleans  
Marykate Connor, Executive Director,  
Caduceus Justice  
Davidson/Site 166 Resident Association  
Inc of the New York City Housing  
Authority  
Social Justice Center of Marin Treaties  
Task Force  
The Woodhull Sexual Freedom Alliance,  
Ricci Joy Levy, Executive Director  
Mental Patients Liberation Alliance  
CAFETY  
Shae Wilson  
Roe Royal  
Marie Parcell  
Terrie Frye  
Vermont Workers Center  
Center for Constitutional Rights  
Midwest Coalition for Human Rights  
Paula J Caplan  
Andrea Parra

Maria Teresa Fernandez  
Grassroots Group to End Harm from  
Psychiatric Diagnosis  
Baltic Street AEH Inc.  
Duane Sherry  
Stephen G. Boren  
Duane Haataja  
Judah Snyder  
Tim Nolan  
Jim Keiser  
Tina Aye  
Bernadette Bushe  
Louise Gordon  
Maxine Hayden  
Shae Leggett  
Eve Remba  
Sarah Knutson  
John F. Prior  
Bob Bennett  
Kris P. Dink  
Laura Ziegler  
Relatives & Allies of Psychiatric  
Survivors  
Susan Roberts  
Carole F. Hayes Collier, MPA  
Erick Fabris  
Jennifer Marie Hughes  
Pamela Thorn  
Toni Jo Silvey  
Maxwell Wolf  
Charmaine Asher  
Mary Maddock  
Cindi Fisher  
The M.O.M.S. movement at  
MentalHealthRightsYES.org  
George Ebert  
Amalia Jurj  
Shain Neumeier  
Fred Arthur Tenzer  
Molly Graver  
Jean Cozens  
Cody Seth Crawford  
Charlie Bombalier  
Anthony Ciccarino  
Gail Penney  
Gerald McVeigh  
West Coast Mental Health Network  
Valeria Jones

Lauren Tenney  
Mike Bachhuber  
Bryhre Cormack CCC  
Carol Moore  
Madeline Klein  
Rebecca Skalinder  
Kirsten Oliver  
Tina Bonneau  
Becky Murphy  
Kathryn Cascio  
Brenda LeFrancois  
Eileen McGinn  
Graeme Bacque  
Bonnie Nelson  
Julia Baber  
Shannon Teresa Bogdanich  
Delores M. Jankovich  
Erik JM Schneider  
Anna Golinska  
Evelyn Stewart  
John Tovey  
Gerald Otis  
Pamela Molina  
Rosita Libre de Marulanda  
Sheri Eva Lorraine Culver  
Kevin Jackson  
Chrys Muirhead  
Emilia Abeyta  
Cynthia Bage  
Dorrit Cato Christensen  
Daniel Hazen  
Wind Vogel  
Elizabeth E Metcalf  
Laura Ann K Bernstein  
Dee Jacobson  
Susan Wiseheart  
Clifford Harbin  
Steven Periard  
Frank Blankenship  
Bridgette Searles  
Eric Whalen  
Michael Dittmer  
Tanya Temkin  
Rita Di Carlo  
Dorothy Dundas  
Bernard P. Daniels  
Thomas R. Brown  
Robert Olcott

Ann G. Burgess, CSCS, CPS  
Phillip Marc Fabre  
Tina Minkowitz  
Loïse Forest  
Michal Prokop Caletka  
Adinah Caro-Greene  
Beth Filson  
Anne Weaver, M.A., LMHC  
The AntiPsychiatry Movement  
Cynthia Rossetti  
Amy Smith